One can simply state that there is an impressive

amount of folk literature and of laboratory hearsay

surrounding laboratory culturing of Drosophila. Ever

since the Morgans, Bridges and Muller cultured in the

"fly room" at Columbia University the first Drosophila melanogaster, the ways of how to culture Drosophila in

N. Popovic, and N.J. Milosevic 1992, Glas. prir. muz. Beograd, B 47:175-186; Soos, A., 1945, Fragm. faunist. hung 8:18-23.

Hoenigsberg, H.F., and E. Bustos. Instituto de Genética, Universidad de los Andes, Santafé de Bogotá, D.C., Colombia. Results of comparative fitness of Drosophila starmeri (Repleta group) from the Guajiran deserts in Maicao in the Colombian Caribbean.

general have varied enormously. *Drosophila's* natural populations have received considerable attention as far as culturing conditions go. For instance Wagner (1944) found important differences in the way *D. mulleri* and *D. aldrichi* utilize eight species of yeast isolated from natural cactus fruits. Buzzati-Traverso (1949), Da Cunha (1951), Lindsay (1958), and Begon (1973) discovered species of yeast that

Table 1.\*

Banana-	Agar	Corn-r	neal
Water	5000 ml	Water Corn Dry Yeast Salt Agar Tegocept Propionic Acid	10,000 mi
Agar	100 g		300 g
Tegocept	100 ml		100 g
Dry Yeast	85 g		20 g
Bananas	60		120 g
Propionic Acid	43 ml		160 ml

even when the quantities are halved the result is the same.

Table 2

D. starmeri	Banana-Agar	Corn-meal
1) Egg produced in mass		
culture of 10 pairs	1019	436
2) Pupae	60	200
3) Adults	21	184

differentially screen various Drosophila species. Richardson and Kambysellis (1968) had to use a cactus-supplemented banana food for cultures of the Repleta group of Drosophila in order to produce them in the laboratory. Sang (1949a,b,c, 1950, 1956) in his ecological requirements for population growth of Drosophila hinted at the considerable amount of genetic variability that supposedly exists in Drosophila to be able to cope with such wide nutritional requirements. The same conclusions can be on hand to rationalize the many media that exist among different laboratories (Wheeler, 1967; Offermann and Schmidt, 1936; Lewis, 1942; Lewis, 1960; Li, 1931; Godbole et al., 1971; Sang, 1956; etc.). The same can be said for difficult species when brought to the laboratory. For example, rye or barley malt (Lakovaara, 1969) as supplement to corn meal medium has been successfully used for some of them. Hoenigsberg (1971) has described three new media quite successful for field studies in tropical forests of South America to support growth of many species in the saltans, willistoni and melanogaster groups. The

following is not a complete list of authors that have made important media to cultivate the *quinaria* group (Jacger, 1957) or the *willistoni* group (Hinton *et al.*, 1951; Spieth, 1974) and of course the various media for the Hawaiian Drosophilidae made with a wide variety of substrates like leaves, stems, fruits, flowers and fungi (see Heed, 1968).

In the following two tables. I show that unless specified it is profoundly erroneous to claim highest fitness for a population of *Drosophila starmeri* recently brought from the deserts with just egg laying to deal with.

References: Buzzati-Traverso, A., 1949, Dros. Inf. Serv. 25:88; Da Cunha, A.B., 1951, Evolution 5:395-404; Godbole, N.N., R.M. Kothari, and V.G. Vaidya 1971, Dros. Inf. Serv. 46:58-59; Heed, W.B., 1968, Univ. Texas Publ. 6818:387-419; Hinton, T., D.T. Noyes, and J. Ellis 1951, Physiol. Zool. 24:335-353; Hoenigsberg, H.F., 1971, Dros. Inf. Serv. 47:77; Jaeger, C.P., and E.C. Jaeger 1957, Dros. Inf. Serv. 31:176; Lakovaara, S., 1969, Dros. Inf. Serv. 44:128; Lewis, M.T., 1942, Science 96:282; Lewis, E.B., 1960, Dros. Inf. Serv. 34:117-118; Li, J.C., 1931, Peking Nat. Hist. Bull. 5:29-31; Offermann, C.A., and I.K. Schmidt 1936, Dros. Inf. Serv. 6:54-65; Richardson, R.H., and M.P. Kambysellis 1968, Dros. Inf. Serv. 43:187; Sang, J.H., 1979a, Physiol. Zool. 22:183-202; Sang, J.H., 1949b, Physiol. Zool. 22:202-210; Sang. J.H., 1949c, Physiol. Zool. 22:210-223; Sang, J.H., 1950, Biol. Rev. 25:188-219; Sang, J.H., 1956, J. Exp. Biol. 33:45-72; Spieth, H.T., 1974, Dros. Inf. Serv. 51:146; Wagner, R.P., 1944, Univ. Texas Publ. 4445:109-128; Wheeler, M.R., 1967, Handbook: The Care and Management of Laboratory Animals, 3<sup>d</sup> ed., E. and S. Livingstone Ltd., Edinburgh.

Hoenigsberg, H.F. Instituto de Genética, Universidad de los Andes, Santafé de Bogotá, D.C., Colombia. Collecting *Drosophila* species in natural surroundings.

One of our research notes in this volume presented some useful hints on how to use various baits to collect *Drosophila*. Moreover, the success in field work was shown to depend on different know-hows displayed in high mountain field work and in the lowland and tropical

rain forest collection. In this brief but, I hope, equally helpful suggestion, I will show how the attraction of *Drosophila* species depend on the kind of bait used.

Table 1. Drosophila species attracted to figs in the Sierra Nevada of Sta. Marta, Colombia at 1000 m. of altitude. The following species were found flying and surrounding a fig tree with many rotten figs on the ground. This collection was found in the rain forest far away from human habitation.

Species	No. individuals (약 & ඊ  )
D. nigricincta	20
D. willistoni	49
D. paulistorum	21
D. capricorni	12
D. mediostriata	7
D. tripunctata	6
D. crocina	10
D. calloptera	1
D. lumiformis	15

Table 2. Collection done near human dwellings but also around fig trees, no other bait was used.

	No. individuals
Species	(약 & ඊ <sup>건</sup> )
D. willistoni group	74
D. capricorni	29
D. fumipennis	115
D. tripunctata	61
D. nigricincta	75
D. angustibucca	28
D. shiri	38
D. unipunctata	5
D. medianotata	3
D. parabocainensis	2
D. angustibucca var. A	2
D. castanea like	15
D. nebulosa	1
D. tripunctata B	2
D. albicans	1
D. paulistorum like	1
D. paulistorum like A	1
D. paulistorum like B	2

Table 3. Collection made on avocadoes left on the ground with *Oenothera* flowers.

Species	No. individuals (♀♀&♂♂¹)
D. tripunctata	8
D. tripunctata like	2
D. fumipennis	2
unknown browns sp.	4

Table 4. Collection of *Drosophila* species using *P. quayada* (*quayaba*) only.

Species	No. individuals (연 & ඊ ් )
D. melanogaster D. willistoni D. cardini D. capricomi D. sturtevanti D. subsigmoides	11 200 1 2 2 2
D. latifasciaeformis	3

Table 5. Collection done over fallen flowers near human dewellings

Species	No. individuals (♀♀&♂♂)
D. tripunctata type 3	8
D. castanea	1
D. unipunctata	1
D. tripunctata type 11	1
D. angustibucca	1

Table 6. Over mangoes.

Species	No. individuals (ಞ & ರೆಂೆ¹)
D. willistoni group	50
D. capricomi	22
D. melanogaster	23
D. ananassae	1
D. mediostriata	2
D. castanea	2
D. black fascioloides	1
D. gibberosa	2
C. emarginata	12
D. nebulosa	1
D. prosaltans	1