Current status of the *Drosophila melanogaster* species-group (Diptera)

IAN R. BOCK Department of Genetics and Human Variation, La Trobe University, Bundoora, Victoria, Australia

ABSTRACT. The Drosphila melanogaster species-group, established by Sturtevant (1942) for fourteen species, is now known to contain 115 described species here divided into twelve named subgroups (including one newly proposed), as well as further undescribed species. Three of the species, melanogaster, simulans and ananassae, are cosmopolitan; two others, kikkawai and malerkotliana, are widespread in the southern hemisphere, the latter apparently a recent introduction to South America. The greatest numbers of species otherwise occur in the Oriental region with smaller numbers in the Ethiopian, eastern Palaearctic and Australian regions and in several islands of the South Pacific. D.rajasekari and D.raychaudhurii are synonymized with D.biarmipes; also D.andamanensis Parshad & Singh is synonymized with D.andamanensis Gupta & Raychaudhuri.

Introduction

The classification of the genus *Drosophila* is complicated by the very large number of species (over 1300) now known. Almost all of the species have been assigned to subgenera, and within their subgenus most species have also been assigned to a species-group and, in some cases, to a subgroup or even to a 'complex' within a subgroup.

Sturtevant (1942) established the *Drosophila melanogaster* species-group within the subgenus *Sophophora* to include fourteen species. The group was more recently reviewed by Bock & Wheeler (1972), who summarized all available information on the distributions, synonymies and relationships of the forty-six species which had been described to that date, provided descriptions of an additional twenty-seven new species (a further two were contributed by F. J. Lin), and discussed several further poorly-known species of questionable affinity to the others.

Correspondence: Dr I. R. Bock, Department of Genetics and Human Variation, La Trobe University, Bundoora 3083, Victoria, Australia.

Since Bock & Wheeler's (1972) review, a further thirty-seven species have been described in the group, and it is now clear that the *melanogaster*-group may be regarded as one of the largest species-groups in the genus *Drosophila*. The group clearly contains a number of species of considerable genetic interest or potential, and in view of the large number of new species recently described, together with the more coherent picture of many distributions and relationships which has also emerged since the time of the last review, it now seems appropriate to provide a further summary of this large and important group of species.

Definition of the *melanogaster* species-group (Sturtevant, 1942: 29)

As members of the subgenus Sophophora, species of the melanogaster group are characterized inter alia by relatively narrow cheeks and possession (in most species) on the abdominal tergites of dark posterior bands

that are not interrupted in the mid-line (Sturtevant, 1939, 1942). Species of the melanogaster-group may additionally diagnosed as follows (modified after Bock & Wheeler, 1972): yellowish tan (usually) or species; sexual dimorphism abdominal coloration often present, male abdomen apically entirely shiny black, female abdominal tergites with dark posterior bands only; sexual dimorphism in foreleg almost always present, male fore-tarsus bearing 'sexcomb' of variable size and structure, female fore-tarsus without additional bristles; second oral bristle large; middle orbital bristle (anterior reclinate orbital) small; ventral receptable (female internal genitalia) long, coiled; testes spiral; male external genitalia comprising genital arch, pair of anal plates and one or two (primary and secondary) pairs of dentate claspers, the whole with numerous long bristles but without micropubescence: male phallic organs almost always with two pairs of parameres (parandrites).

Subgroup classification and notes

The question of division of the melanogastergroup into subgroups has been considered several times in the past in recognition of those clusters of species which are evidently most closely related. The first such subdivision (into five subgroups) was proposed by Hsu (1949) on the basis of a detailed study of male external genitalia in a total of only thirteen species. Hsu's classification has, however, been reinforced by subsequent findings and his five subgroups (all now considerably enlarged) are still universally recognized. Okada (1954) added a further two subgroups, Bock & Wheeler (1972) a further four (an undescribed African species was also separated into a fifth unnamed subgroup).

The distribution of species amongst the subgroups is by no means uniform or even approximately so; the *montium*-subgroup contains over half of all species described to date, while several other subgroups have either remained monotypic or include few species only. A summary of the species of each subgroup is provided below together with additional notes on particular species.

I. melanogaster-subgroup (Hsu, 1949: 121)

Abdomen of male shiny black on apical (approximately) half; female abdominal tergites with apical black bands (sixth tergite sometimes entirely black); male with sexcomb consisting of about 7-12 large black teeth arranged in oblique row distally on metatarsus; male external genitalia with primary claspers only.

In external morphology, the seven species of the *melanogaster*-subgroup (Table 1) comprise a compact complex clearly demarcated from the members of all other subgroups. The most characteristic feature of the species is the sex-comb of the males (although superficially similar sex-combs occur in a few other species; cf. *bipectinata* and *parabipectinata*, ananassae-subgroup, and nikananu, montium-subgroup). The coloration is also subtly characteristic in that few species of the other subgroups are as pale, yet possess such clearly defined bands on the abdominal tergites.

Recent studies (Tsacas & David, 1974; Tsacas & Lachaise, 1974; Lemeunier & Ashburner, 1976) have provided strong evidence that the melanogaster-subgroup is native to the Ethiopian region. Five of the seven known species are entirely restricted to that region while the remaining two are cosmopolitan, presumably having extended their ranges within historical times in association with human commerce and movements. Since most species of the melanogaster-group occur in the Oriental region and adjacent areas, the species of the melanogaster-subgroup clearly represent a peripheral radiation, and the absence of obviously close relatives in the Oriental region suggests that the ancestor of the seven Ethiopian species reached the African continent some considerable time ago. (It appears, indeed, that at least three separate melanogaster-group invasions reached Africa. since representatives of two other subgroups, ananassae and montium, also occur there, while the dentissima-subgroup is restricted to Africa. Further zoogeographical comments are offered in the Discussion.)

The closest relatives of the species of the melanogaster-subgroup remain a matter of speculation. In external morphology the latter most closely resemble the eleven species of the takahashii-subgroup; ten of the eleven

TABLE 1. The melanogaster-subgroup

| Species | Distribution |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|
| 1. erecta Tsacas & Lachaise, | |
| 1974: 193 | Africa |
| 2. mauritiana Tsacas & David, | |
| 1974: 42 | Mauritius |
| 3. melanogaster Meigen, 1830: 85 | Cosmopolitan |
| = fasciata Meigen, 1830: 84 | |
| = nigriventris Macquart, 1843: | |
| 259 | |
| = approximata Zetterstedt, 1847 | : |
| 2557 | |
| = ampelophila Loew, 1861: 231 | |
| = uvarum Rondani, 1875: 86 | |
| = balteata Bergroth, 1894: 75 | |
| - pilosula Becker, 1908: 156 | |
| = emulata Ray-Chaudhuri & | |
| Mukherjee, 1941: 215 | |
| 4. orena Tsacas & David, 1978: | |
| 179 | Africa |
| 5. simulans Sturtevant, 1919: 153 | Cosmopolitan |
| 6. teissieri Tsacas, 1971: 35 | Africa |
| 7. yakuba Burla, 1954a: 161 | Africa |

species of the takahashii-subgroup possess the same general coloration and colour dimorphism as the members of the melanogaster-subgroup, but the sex-combs of the species of the takahashii-subgroup consist of transverse rows of heavy bristles on the first two tarsal segments. Different though the melanogaster and takahashii arrangements may appear, however, they do not necessarily imply substantial genetic divergence since interspecific crosses are possible in another subgroup between species with sex-combs differing to the same extent (Bock, 1978; ananassae-subgroup, q.v.). It is conceivable that studies of polytene chromosomal banding homologies might shed additional light on the relationships between these two subgroups. Considerable progress has already been made in the analysis of such relationships within the melanogaster-subgroup (Ashburner & Lemeunier, 1976; Lemeunier and Ashburner, 1976).

Notes

- 1. D.erecta is a species of apparently very narrow ecological requirements, associated almost exclusively with fruits of the tree Pandanus candelabrum (Lemeunier & Ashburner, 1976).
 - 2. Known only from the island of Mauritius,

D.mauritiana is closely related chromosomally to melanogaster and simulans (Lemeunier & Ashburner, 1976).

3. D. melanogaster is the type species of the subgenus Sophophora (Sturtevant, 1939). This best-known of all Drosophila species was described by J. W. Meigen (1830) in Volume 6 of his substantial treatise on the Diptera of Europe, although the description of 'Dros. melanogaster' (as Meigen's other descriptions) was extremely brief. For its historical interest the description is quoted in full [author's translation]: 'Head, thoracic dorsum and legs clay-yellow; abdomen black. The halteres are white, the wings without colour. - From Austria, from Kiel and from Hamburg. - 1 line [i.e., one twelfth of an inch]'. The preceding page of Meigen's treatise contains a description of a 'Dros.fasciata'. The types of both melanogaster and fasciata have been lost, but melanogaster and fasciata are believed to represent male and female respectively of the same species; evidently very old specimens of uncertain origin of 'D.fasciata' in the collection of the Naturhistorisches Museum Wien (Vienna) are clearly the female of the species now universally known as melanogaster. In his catalogue of the Drosophila species of the world, Wheeler (1959) listed 'fasciata Meigen' as 'considered by some authors as prior name (page preference) for melanogaster Meigen'. Occasional papers have indeed appeared in which the species has been cited as fasciata (e.g. Meltzen et al., 1952), but the citing by Okada (1956) of fasciata as a synonym of melanogaster fulfills the requirements of Article 24(a) and Recommendation 24A of the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature in establishing melanogaster as the valid name. (Where synonymic names have been published simultaneously, the first need not necessarily be selected as the valid name; popular usage had clearly established melanogaster as the name of choice.) With the exception of a single specimen which is clearly a different species, the fifteen syntypes of D.ampelophila Loew (in the Museum für Naturkunde, East Berlin) are also clearly males (three specimens) and females (eleven specimens) of *D.melanogaster*. [Types of the other species cited in the synonymy above have not been viewed by the author, but the species have been shown to be synonyms of

melanogaster (Wheeler, 1959; Okada, 1956; Bock & Wheeler, 1972).]

- 4. D.melanogaster and D.simulans are two of the eight cosmopolitan species (i.e. species which occur in at least parts of all six biogeographic regions) in the genus Drosophila (cf. also D.ananassae below). Although very widespread, however, the species are restricted in occurence in most parts of the world to urban or farm environments or other situations in which natural habitats have been modified by man. An exception is the African continent, where the species are widespread in natural habitats; the probable African origin of the melanogaster-subgroup has been mentioned above. Both species appear to be absent from South-East Asia; melanogaster has been detected in the last 2 years in New Guinea in a few urban environments about Port Moresby and the Sogeri Plateau and is probably a recent introduction.
- 5. Males of melanogaster and simulans, frequently collected together, are easily distinguished by examination of the external genitalia; a large clam-shaped process extends from the genital arch in simulans while the homologous process in melanogaster is considerably smaller. The criteria useful for separating females of the two species are more equivocal, cheek width (narrow in simulans, wide in melanogaster) perhaps being the better (Gallo, 1973; Bock, 1976).

II. takahashii-subgroup (Hsu, 1949: 122)

Abdomen of male shiny black on (approximately) apical half (except in tanorum); female abdominal tergites with apical black bands (female unknown in retnasabapathyi and tanorum); male with sex-comb consisting of short transverse rows of teeth on first two tarsal segments; male external genitalia with primary claspers only (but cf. tanorum), clasper with row of large black teeth; anterior parameres of phallic organs large, pointed, apically black.

The eleven species of the *takahashii*-subgroup (as those of the *melanogaster*-subgroup) are very similar in external morphology, only the *tanorum* male lacking the characteristic

apically black abdomen. There is less variation in the structure of the male genitalia within the takahashii-subgroup than within the melanogaster-subgroup, but there are some interspecific differences among the species of the takahashii-subgroup in the numbers and arrangement of teeth in the sex-combs. The wings of four species (giriensis, nepalensis, prostipennis, trilutea) also show a sexual dimorphism, the male wing possessing an apical dark patch or at least some infuscation, while the female wing is clear. Species of the takahashii-subgroup occur from eastern Australia north to Japan and west to the Indian subcontinent, but no species is widespread within that area except D.takahashii itself.

TABLE 2. The takahashii-subgroup

| Species | | Distribution | |
|---------|---------------------------------|------------------|--|
| 1. | giriensis Prakash & Reddy, | | |
| _ | 1977: 597 | India | |
| 2. | jagri Prakash & Reddy, | | |
| | 1979a: 73 | India | |
| 3. | lutescens Okada, 1975: 241 | Korea; Japan | |
| | = lutea Kikkawa & Peng, | | |
| | 1938: 533, nec Wiede- | | |
| | mann, 1830: 593 | | |
| | = luteola Okada, 1974: 282 | | |
| | (replacement name for | | |
| | lutea), nec Hardy, 1965: 347 | | |
| 4. | nepalensis Okada, 1955: | | |
| | 388 | Nepal; India | |
| 5. | paralutea Bock & Wheeler, | • • | |
| | 1972: 15 | Thailand; Borneo | |
| 6. | prostipennis Lin, 1972: 19 | Taiwan | |
| 7. | pseudotakahashii Mather, | | |
| | 1957: 222 | Australia | |
| 8. | retnasabapathyi Takada & | | |
| | Momma, 1975: 36 | Malaysia | |
| 9. | takahashii Sturtevant, | | |
| | 1927: 371 | India to Japan; | |
| | | South-East Asia | |
| 10. | trilutea Bock & Wheeler, | | |
| | 1972: 17 | Taiwan; Borneo | |
| 11. | tanorum Okada, 1964a: | | |
| | 452 | Borneo | |

Notes

1. D.lutescens and D.paralutea have been shown to intercross to a small extent in the laboratory (Bock, 1972), the polytene chromosomes of the hybrid larvae exhibiting

the asynapsis between homologous arms commonly observed in interspecific crosses, together with evidence of several gene rearrangements. It is conceivable, given the morphological similarities within the subgroup, that attempts at hybridizing other species may also prove successful.

- 2. D.pseudotakahashii is now known to range from northern Queensland to southern New South Wales or possibly Victoria (Bock, 1976, Bock & Parsons, 1978a), often occurring as the dominant fruit-baited species in all categories of rain forest (Bock & Parsons, 1977).
- 3. D.tanorum, known only from the holotype male, was included in the montiumsubgroup by Okada (1964a, b) because the external genitalia appear to possess two pairs of claspers. As noted by Okada, the genitalia are in other respects typical of those of a species of the takahashii-subgroup; the sexcomb also consists of transverse rows of bristles on the first two tarsal segments, an arrangement quite unlike that of any species in the montium-subgroup. Bock & Wheeler (1972) provisionally left D.tanorum in the montium-subgroup, although noting its doubtful position there. Apart from the arrangement of the claspers (to some extent reminiscent of that in the montium- and suzukii- and, to a lesser extent, the ananassae-subgroups) the species is clearly a member of the takahashiisubgroup in the structure of the genitalia and seems best now transferred to this subgroup.

III. suzukii-subgroup (Hsu, 1949: 122)

Anal plate of male external genitalia with lower bristles clearly differentiated in length and/or thickness from upper bristles; large primary clasper only present, with several sets of different teeth; aedeagus long, slender; anterior and posterior parameres large.

Although fairly easily defined by the structure of the male genitalia, the species of this subgroup are more heterogeneous in external morphology than are the members of most other subgroups. Colour ranges from pale to dark brown. The abdominal colour dimorphism (male abdomen apically black) is present in some species only, and a wing dimorphism similar to that of several species

in the preceding subgroup is also present in four species (biarmipes, pulchrella, suzukii, tristipennis). The sex-comb ranges from longitudinal, through oblique and transverse, to absent. Species of the suzukii-subgroup have been recorded from India and China to Korea, Japan, Taiwan and Cambodia.

TABLE 3. The suzukii-subgroup

| Species | Distribution | |
|---|------------------|--|
| 1. biarmipes Malloch, 1924a: 64 | India | |
| = rajasekari Reddy & Krishna- murthy, 1968: 202, syn. nov. | To the second | |
| = raychaudhurii Gupta, 1969: | , t _e | |
| 54, syn. nov. | 45 L | |
| 2. immacularis Okada, 1966: 97 | Nepal | |
| 3. lucipennis Lin, 1972: 23 | Taiwan | |
| 4. mimetica Bock & Wheeler, | | |
| 1972: 25 | Malaysia | |
| 5. oshimai Choo & Nakamura, | | |
| 1973: 305 | Japan | |
| 6. pulchrella Tan, Hsu & Sheng, | India to Japan; | |
| 1949: 198 | Taiwan | |
| 7. suzukii (Matsumura, 1931: 366) | India to Japan | |
| 8. tristipennis Duda, 1924a: 215; | India; Nepal; | |
| 1924b: 247 | Taiwan | |
| 9. unipectinata Duda, 1924a: 215: | Korea; Japan; | |
| 1924b: 246 | Taiwan | |

Notes

Vaidya & Godbole 197/ placed it in the Supplies

1. The status of *D.biarmipes* has previously been considered doubtful, Malloch's description having been noted to agree with that of rajasekari (= raychaudhurii), especially with regard to the unusual sex-comb, except for the absence of a mention by Malloch of any strong apical darkening in the male wing (Bock & Wheeler, 1972). The holotype of biarmipes has now been examined and, although not in good condition, has been found to possess weak apical darkening on one wing (the other is damaged). Studies on cultures of species possessing the wing coloration dimorphism have indicated that the apical darkening characteristic of the male wing develops with age. The wing is almost or entirely clear on eclosion and the dark patch gradually intensifies over a period of a few days; teneral specimens therefore lack the characteristic coloration and could easily be mistaken for another species. Apart from this general consideration, detailed studies by Prakash & Reddy (1976) have revealed considerable variability within the species 'D.rajasekari' in expression of the wing patch in mature males, the colour ranging from entirely absent to very strong; some individuals even exhibit bilateral asymmetry with respect to colour development. Given the similarities otherwise existing between biarmipes and rajasekari and the fact that both species occur in the same area, it appears certain that the male described by Malloch was either a young specimen or one in which the wing pigmentation did not develop.

- 2. D, lucipennis and D, tristipennis lack sex-
- 3. D.suzukii was described in the genus Leucophenga.

IV. elegans-subgroup (Bock & Wheeler, 1972: 27)

Anterior parameres of phallic organs exceptionally long and slender, apically pointed, basally recurved; posterior parameres large, with numerous small finger-like branches; lower portion of anal plate with large tooth (elegans) or smaller teeth (sahyadrii); sex-comb consisting of transverse rows of bristles on first three tarsal segments; male wing with apical black patch.

This subgroup was established for the single species *D.elegans* which shows some resemblances to members of the *suzuktisubgroup* but possesses highly distinctive male genitalia.

TABLE 4. The elegans-subgroup

| Species | Distribution | |
|---|----------------------------|--|
| 1. elegans Bock & Wheeler, 1972: 28 | Philippines; New Guinea | |
| sahyadrii Prakash & Reddy, 1979b: 69 | India | |

Notes

1. Formerly known only from the Philippines, *D.elegans* was recently collected in New Guinea (Bock & Parsons, unpublished) in the large tubular flowers of *Ipomoea fistulosa*. It is conceivable that larvae of *D.elegans* could

be living in the fleshy basal tissues of the flowers; a similar situation has been shown to exist in the Australian species *D.(Scaptodrosophila) hibisci* Bock (Cook *et al.*, 1977), larvae of which feed in the decaying tissues of flowers of native *Hibiscus* species that have fallen from the plant.

2. D.sahyadrii was included in the suzukiisubgroup by Prakash & Reddy (1979b) but morphologically the species is clearly a close relative of clegans; sahyadrii was further recorded as 'obtained from the flowers of Ipomoea species'.

V. denticulata-subgroup (Bock & Wheeler, 1972: 29)

Primary clasper only present in male external genitalia; anterior parameres of phallic organs finger-like; fore-femur of male plump, densely pubescent, with posteromedial row of longer bristles; sex-comb consisting only of a few large teeth apically on short metatarsus; abdominal colour dimorphism absent.

TABLE 5. The denticulata-subgroup

| Species | Distribution |
|--|--|
| 1. denticulata Bock & Wheeler, 1972: 29 | South-East Asia; New Guinea; Australia |
| 2. pseudodenticulata Takada & Momma, 1975: 350 | Malaysia |

Notes

- 1. D.denticulata is now known to be widespread in rain forests of north Queensland.
- 2. D. pseudodenticulata is known only from two males collected together in West Malaysia.

VI. eugracilis-subgroup (Bock & Wheeler, 1972: 31)

Primary clasper only present in male external genitalia; aedeagus large, highly ornate; 'sexcomb' consisting only of two large bristles on distal portion of metatarsus; dimorphism strong, male abdomen apically black, sharply truncated, without protruding genitalia.

The eugracilis-subgroup was established for a single distinctive species with a convoluted taxonomic history; the subgroup has remained monotypic. A review of the earlier confusion surrounding the status of this species is given in Bock & Wheeler (1972). The single species of this subgroup is D.eugracilis Bock & Wheeler, 1972: 31, eugracilis having been proposed as a replacement name; the species was originally described by Duda in the genus Tanygastrella together with another species now known to be a member of the subgenus Scaptodrosophila (Bock & Parsons, 1978b).

VII. ficusphila-subgroup (Okada, 1954: 43)

Sex-comb longitudinal along entire lengths of metatarsus and second tarsal segment, consisting of row of numerous close teeth on each segment plus several larger teeth on each segment below (deep to) former rows; primary clasper only present in male external genitalia; anal plate with differentiated upper and lower bristles; abdominal colour dimorphism absent.

The most distinguishing feature of the species of this subgroup is the sex-comb; similar sex-combs occur in the *montium*- and *dentissima*-subgroups (see below).

TABLE 6. The ficusphila-subgroup

| Species | Distribution |
|--|--|
| 1. ficusphila Kikkawa & Peng, 1938: 531 | Korea; Japan; Taiwan; Andaman Islands; Malaysia |
| 2. smithersi Bock, 1976: 17 | Australia |

Notes

- 1. The species of this small subgroup appear to be rare and have remained little known. *D.ficusphila* is the most widespread species but there are few records of its collection. *D.smithersi*, although recorded from both northern and southern Queensland (Bock, 1976), appears to be very rare.
- 2. An undescribed species of this subgroup is known from New Guinea (Bock & Wheeler, 1972).

VIII. nipponica-subgroup (Okada, 1954: 43)

Sex-comb longitudinal on first two tarsal segments; anal plate of male external genitalia with single large ventromedial tooth; primary clasper only present; aedeagus branched or serrate.

This small group comprises three species known only from Korea and Japan. The abdominal tergites of both sexes of clarofinis and magnipectinata are highly unusual within the melanogaster-group and the subgenus Sophophora in possessing apical black bands that are interrupted in the mid-line, a characteristic that appears to have escaped previous comment. Unbroken apical bands (or bands widened in the mid-line) on the abdominal tergites were given by Sturtevant (1939) as a subgeneric characteristic for Sophophora, and almost all of the species that have been described since 1939 in that subgenus possess abdominal tergites that are either uniformly coloured or with unbroken apical bands. Apart, however, from this anomalous characteristic, there seems no doubt that the species are correctly included in the melanogastergroup. According to the original description of D.nipponica the apical bands on the abdominal tergites of this species are not interrupted in the mid-line.

TABLE 7. The nipponica-subgroup

| Species | Distribution | |
|---|--------------|--|
| 1. clarofinis Lee, 1959: 43 | Korea; Japan | |
| 2. magnipectinata Okada, 1956: 113 | Korea; Japan | |
| nipponica Kikkawa & Peng, 1938: 531 | Korea; Japan | |

IX. ananassae-subgroup (Hsu, 1949: 122)

Male external genitalia (except varians) with primary and secondary claspers; teeth on primary clasper in two sets; sex-comb absent (ironensis) or in transverse, oblique or longitudinal row or rows.

The ananassae-subgroup is widespread, with species ranging from Africa across Asia, South-East Asia, New Guinea and northern

Australia to Samoa and Fiji in the South Pacific; one species (malerkotliana) is also common in some localities in South America but is probably a recent introduction (see Note 5 below). Most of the species are native to the Oriental Biogeographic region and New

| TA | BLE 8. The ananassae-subgr | oup | 1. D.ananassae is a cosmopolitan species which is also abundant in the tropical rain |
|----------|---|--|--|
| Species | | Distribution | forests of South-East Asia and New Guinea. Some colour variation is known in the species, |
| 1. | ananassae Doleschall, 1858: 128 = imparata Walker, 1859: 126 = similis Lamb, 1914: 347 nec Williston, 1896: 415 = errans Malloch, 1933:21 (replacement name for similis) = caribea Sturtevant, 1916 | 5 | specimens from Samoa and Fiji being appreciably darker than others (Bock & Wheeler, 1972). Additionally, varying degrees of reproductive isolation among ananassae populations have been demonstrated (Futch, 1966), and a number of both paracentric and pericentric chromosomal inversions (the latter a rare phenomenon in <i>Drosophila</i> species) has been demonstrated. The species lacks dimor- |
| 2. | 335 andamanensis Gupta & Ray-Chaudhuri, 1970: 171 = andamanensis Parshad & Singh, 1971: 391, syn. nov. | Andaman and Nicobar Is. | phism in abdominal coloration. The genetics of <i>D.ananassae</i> has been studied in considerable detail (Moriwaki & Tobari, 1975) and over 150 mutants have been catalogued and, in most cases, assigned to a linkage group; male |
| 3. 4. | atripex Bock & Wheeler, 1972: 43 bipectinata Duda, 1923: 52 | South-East Asia | recombination is also a normal phenomenon in the species and has been known for a long time. [Surprisingly, in view of its very long- |
| | = szentivanii Mather & Dobzhansky, 1962: 247 | Oriental/Australian; Japan; Samoa and Fiji | standing popularity with respect to other aspects of genetic research, the phenomenon of male recombination in <i>D.melanogaster</i> has |
| 5. o. | cornixa Takada, Momma & Shima, 1973: 79 ercepeae Tsacas & David, | Malaysia | begun receiving widespread attention only in the last few years (Woodruff & Thompson, 1977).] |
| 7. | 1975: 134 ironensis Bock & | Réunion Island Australia; | 2. D.pallidosa is a sibling species of ananassae, the former restricted in occurrence |
| 8. | Parsons, 1978a: 102 malerkotliana Parshad & Paika, 1964: 235 | New Guinea India; South-East Asia; Africa; South America | to Fiji and Samoa and distinguished from ananassae by its paler coloration. The male |
| 9. | micropectinata Takada & Momma, 1975: 43 | Malaysia | genitalia of the two species are practically indistinguishable, an unusual phenomenon |
| 10. | 1972, 41 | Micronesia | within the genus <i>Drosophila</i> where, except in the case of a number of Hawaiian species |
| | pallidosa Bock & Wheeler, 1972: 38 | Fiji and Samoa | (Kaneshiro, 1974), even otherwise very similar species usually possess quite different male |
| | parabipectinata Bock, 1971a: 277 | South-East Asia; Réunion Is. | genitalia. |
| | & Shima, 1973: 91 | Malaysia | 3. Independent surveys of the Andaman Islands were evidently performed by Gupta |
| | phaeopleura Bock & Wheeler, 1972: 40 pseudoananassae Bock, 1971a: 274 | Fiji South-East Asia; New Guinea; | & Ray-Chaudhuri (1970) and by Parshad & Singh (1971); the latter paper was overlooked in the last review of the <i>melanogaster</i> -group |
| 15. | • | | |

Australia

Philippines

16. varians Bock & Wheeler,

1972: 43

Notes

Guinea; the subgroup has evidently expanded

westwards to Africa, eastwards into the South

Pacific and southwards into northern Australia.

(Bock & Wheeler, 1972). Both Gupta & Ray-

Chaudhuri and Parshad & Singh described a

the

former

'D, and amanensis';

One species (ananassae) is cosmopolitan.

included their species in the ananassaesubgroup while the latter authors included their species in the montium-subgroup. Careful comparison of the two descriptions reveals that both groups of workers have described the same species (which is correctly included in the ananassae-subgroup). D. and amanensis Parshad & Singh, 1971 is thus a synonym of D.andamanensis Gupta & Ray-Chaudhuri, 1970. The former name is not a homonym since identical taxa are involved (Article 52, International Code of Zoological Nomenclature), although a homonym was created by Parshad & Singh (1971) in the description of a second species (see Note 2, montium-subgroup, below).

- 4. The four species bipectinata, malerkotliana, parabipectinata and pseudoananassae are extremely similar in many details of morphology, including male genitalia, and can be hybridized with varying degrees of success in all possible combinations (Bock, 1978); these species have been termed the 'bipectinatacomplex'. However, there are distinct differences among the species in the sexcombs, which consist of short transverse rows of bristles in malerkotliana and pseudoananassae and considerably longer oblique rows in bipectinata and parabipectinata; a hybrid male between one of the first two species and one of the second two possesses a sex-comb of intermediate structure. In spite of the similarities in sex-combs just noted, malerkotliana clearly appears to be more closely related to both bipectinata and parabipectinata that to psuedoananassae (Bock, 1971b, 1978). Abdominal colour dimorphism is absent in bipectinata, present in parabipectinata than to pseudoananassae (Bock, only of both malerkotliana and pseudoananassae; in the latter cases subspecies have accordingly been recognized, the typical subspecies of malerkotliana possessing the dimorphism while D.m.pallens Bock & Wheeler, 1972: 48 lacks it, and the typical subspecies of pseudoananassae lacking the dimorphism while D.p.nigrens Bock & Wheeler, 1972: 48 possesses it.
- 5. D.malerkotliana (typical subspecies) has been recorded within the last few years in Brazilian forests where it sometimes comprises a high proportion of all flies collected (do Val, personal communication). It appears that the

species is a recent introduction and has adapted very successfully to local conditions.

- 6. D.cornixa and D.pereirai were included in the montium-subgroup by Takada et al. (1973). On both sex-comb structure (transverse rows of bristles in both species) and male genitalia these species are better included in the ananassae-subgroup.
- 7. D.ironensis, a small species without abdominal colour dimorphism, lacks a sexcomb, in condition shared by only three other species in the melanogaster-group (cf. suzukii-subgroup above, flavohirta-subgroup below). The species was described from rain forests of northern Australia (Queensland) but is now known to be present in New Guinea (Bock & Parsons, unpublished).
- 8. Takada & Momma (1975) did not assign *micropectinata* to a subgroup. The structure of the male genitalia places the species in the *ananassae*-subgroup.

X. montium-subgroup (Hsu, 1949: 121)

Male external genitalia with primary and secondary claspers, secondary clasper usually with very large bristles (but cf. Note 7 below); sex-comb in almost all species in longitudinal rows of teeth along metatarsus and second tarsal segment (cf. Note 4); abdominal colour dimorphism rarely present, most species possessing shiny yellowish or brown tergites with clearly defined apical black bands in both sexes; abdominal tergites with sparse large apical bristles.

With fifty-nine of the 115 described species in the *melanogaster*-group, the *montium*-subgroup is by far the largest of the twelve subgroups here recognized. Species of the *montium* subgroup are found from Africa to Japan, Micronesia, New Guinea and Australia, and one species (kikkawai) occurs in South America as well as in other areas. The 'epicentre' of the subgroup is, however, clearly the Oriental region. Many species are very poorly known, or known from only a single locality; this phenomenon is considered further in the Discussion.

The most distinguishing feature of the species of the *montium*-subgroup is the large longitudinal sex-comb; the coloration, shinier and darker than that of most species of other subgroups, is also characteristic.

TABLE 9. The montium-subgroup

| Spe | cies | Distribution | Spec | cies | Distribution |
|-----|-------------------------------|-------------------|------|---------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | agumbensis Prakash & Reddy, | | 29. | lacteicornis Okada, 1965: | |
| | 1978: 259 | India | | 347 | Okinawa |
| 2. | anomelani Reddy & Krishna- | | 30. | leontia Tsacas & David, | |
| • | murthy, 1973: 259 | India | | 1977: 679 | Malaysia |
| 3. | artecarina Takada & | | 31. | lini Bock & Wheeler, | |
| • | Momma, 1975: 38 | Malaysia | | 1972: 59 | Taiwan |
| ١. | asahinai Okada, 1964b: 111 | Amami Islands | 32. | longipectinata Takada, | |
| r. | asammai Okada, 19040. 111 | (Japan) | | Momma & Shima, 1973: 82 | Malaysia |
| · . | auraria Pong, 1937: 23 | China; Korea; | 33. | matilei Tsacas, 1974: 148 | Africa |
| • | uururu Teng, 1737. 23 | Japan | | mayri Mather & Dobzhansky, | |
| ś. | baimaii Bock & Wheeler, | Thailand; | | 1962: 245 | New Guinea |
| ٠. | 1972: 70 | | 35 | montium de Meijere, 1916, | |
| | | Malaysia | 33. | 205 | Javà |
| ٠. | bakoue Tsacas & Lachaise, | A.E.J. | 26 | mysorensis Reddy & Krishna- | Java |
| | 1974: 197 | Africa | 30. | murthy, 1970: 24 | India. |
| 3. | barbarae Bock & Wheeler, | | 25 | | mųta, |
| | 1972: 62 | South-East Asia | 37. | nigrialata Takada, Momma | M-1 |
|). | biauraria Bock & Wheeler, | | | & Shima, 1973: 85 | Malaysia |
| | 1972: 53 | Korea; Japan | 38. | nigropleuralis Takada, | |
| 0. | bicornuta Bock & Wheeler, | | | Momma & Shima, 1973: 84 | Malaysia |
| | 1972: 67 | South-East Asia | 39. | nikananu Burla, 1954a: 160 | Africa |
| 1. | birchii Dobzhansky & | Australia; | 40. | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| | Mather, 1961: 462 | New Guinea | | 64 | Thailand |
| 2. | bocki Baimai, 1979: 237 | Thailand; Taiwan | 41. | palmata Takada, Momma & | |
| 3. | bocqueti Tsacas & Lachaise, | | | Shima, 1973: 86 | Malaysia |
| | 1974: 204 | Africa | 42. | paraviaristata Takada, Momm | a |
| 4. | brevis Parshad & Singh, 1971: | | | & Shima, 1973: 87 | Malaysia |
| | 397, nec Walker, 1852: 411 | Andaman Islands | 43. | parvula Bock & Wheeler, | Thailand; |
| 5. | burlai Tsacas & Lachaise, | | | 1972: 73 | Malaysia |
| | 1974: 200 | Africa | 44. | pectinifera Wheeler & Takada | , |
| 6. | coonorensis Reddy & Krishna- | | | 1964: 176 | Micronesia |
| | murthy, 1973: 262 | India | 45. | penicillipennis Takada, | |
| 7. | davidi Tsacas, 1975: 127 | Africa | | Momma & Shima, 1973: 91 | Malaysia |
| | diplacantha Tsacas & David, | | 46. | pennae Bock & Wheeler, | - |
| | 1977: 681 | Africa | | 1972: 61 | New Guinea |
| a | dominicana Ayala, 1965a: | | 47. | pseudobaimaii Takada, | |
| ٠. | 620 | New Guinea | 47. | Momma & Shima, 1973: 89 | Malaysia |
| ^ | | New Guinea | 4.0 | psoudomayri Baimai, 1970a: | |
| ٥. | exiguitutu Takada, Momma | Malaysia | 40. | 22 | New Guinea |
| 1 | & Shima, 1973: 79 | Malaysia | 40 | | Tion Cumba |
| 1. | flavopleuralis Takada, | 26.1 | 49. | punjabiensis Parshad & Paika, | In dia . Malausi |
| _ | Momma & Shima, 1973: 82 | Malaysia . | | 1964: 241 | India; Malaysi |
| 2. | greeni Bock & Wheeler, | | 50. | quadraria Bock & Wheeler, | |
| | 1972: 82 | Africa | | 1972: 55 | Taiwan |
| 3. | gundensis Prakash & Reddy, | | 51. | rhopaloa Bock & Wheeler, | Thailand; |
| | 1977: 600 | India | | 1972: 69 | Borneo |
| 4. | jambulina Parshad & Paika, | | 52. | rufa Kikkawa & Peng, 1938: | |
| | 1964: 240 | India; Cambodia | | 529 | India to Japan |
| 5. | kanapiae Bock & Wheeler, | | £ 2 | seguyi Smart, 1945: 56 | Africa |
| | 1972: 74 | Philippines | | | |
| 6. | khaoyana Bock & Wheeler, | | 54. | serrata Malloch, 1927: 6 | Australia; |
| | 1972: 68 | Thailand | | | New Guinea |
| 7. | kikkawai Burla, 1954b: 47 | Ethiopian, | 55. | trapezifrons Okada, 1966: | |
| • | | Oriental, | | 93 | Nepal |
| | | Australian, Neo- | 56. | triauraria Bock & Wheeler, | |
| | | tropical and | | 1972: 54 | Korea; Japan |
| | | - | 57. | | India; Borneo |
| | | Palagratic zones | 58. | | , 2011100 |
| | him whales was Takada Mamma | Palaearctic zones | 50. | 1972: 79 | Africa |
| ŏ. | kinabalauana Takada, Momma | | | | |
| | & Shima, 1973: 81 | Malaysia | 59. | vulcana Graber, 1957: 309 | Africa |

Notes

- 1. D.birchii, originally described as a subspecies of serrata, was accorded specific status by Ayala (1965b). The species is rich in chromosomal inversion polymorphism (Baimai, 1970b); no other species of this subgroup appears to have been investigated for inversion polymorphism although the scope for such studies is obviously considerable.
- 2. D.brevis Parshad & Singh, 1971 is a junior homonym of D.brevis Walker, 1852: 411, an American species of uncertain relationships
- 3. D.kikkawai possesses several very close relatives, the species concerned [anomelani, barbarae, brevis (see Note 2 above), diplacantha, leontia, lini, mysorensis and pennae] having, together with kikkawai, been termed the 'kikkawai-complex' in a review by Tsacas & David (1977); the two species kikkawai and leontia were noted to possess identical male genitalia (cf. Note 2, ananassae-subgroup).
- 4. While a longitudinal sex-comb on the metatarsus and second tarsal segment is typical of species of the montium-subgroup, four species (exiguitata, gundensis, nikananu and paraviaristata) possess smaller sex-combs. A further comment on paraviaristata is given in Note 7 below. The male genitalia of gundensis and nikananu clearly place these species in montium-subgroup. The male genitalia of exiguitata are somewhat atypical but the species should probably be retained in the montium-subgroup at least until further information on the species (which was described from five males) is available.
- 5. Tsacas (1974) provisionally assigned D.matilei to the montium-subgroup. The species possesses a sex-comb which could permit its inclusion in either the montium or dentissima (see below) subgroups, but the male genitalia are not typical of those of either subgroup and the systematic position of the species remains questionable.
- 6. D.montium was the first species described possessing the sex-comb typical of this subgroup. Before it was realized that many other species possess very similar sex-combs but can almost always be distinguished unequivocally from one another by reference to the male genitalia, there followed a long history of mis-

identifications of other species as 'montium' (Bock & Wheeler, 1972). The type of locality of D. montium is Java and in fact there is no confirmed record of the discovery of this species in Java or in any other area since de Meijere's original description. The holotype of D.montium is located, together with twentyone other specimens assigned to the same species, in the Zoologisch Museum, Universiteit van Amsterdam, Amsterdam. It is quite likely that at least some of the latter specimens represent one or more different species but dissection of the genitalia of male specimens would be necessary to confirm this; specific determination of female specimens would probably be impossible. The genitalia of the montium holotype male were figured by Burla (1954b).

- 7. According to the original description of paraviaristata, the sex-comb, although long and consisting of many teeth, is confined to the metatarsus. The male external genitalia lack secondary claspers. The systematic position of this species is unclear; it is here provisionally retained in the montium-subgroup.
- 8. D.vulcana was recorded from Malaysia by Takada & Momma (1975). Given that this species is otherwise known only from Africa, the Malaysian determination must be regarded with scepticism.
- 9. *D.seguyi* has been reported from India (Gupta, 1974). Since this species is also African, the Indian determination must also be regarded with scepticism.

XI. dentissima-subgroup (Bock & Wheeler, 1972: 83)

Sex-comb similar to that of typical montiumsubgroup species, with numerous tightly packed teeth; male external genitalia with one pair of claspers only; anterior parameres of phallic organs apically pointed, black.

The *dentissima*-subgroup was established for the two little-known African species below (cf. Note 5, *montium*-subgroup).

XII. flavohirta-subgroup, new subgroup

Sex-comb absent; male external genitalia with one pair of claspers only: body entirely pale

TABLE 10. The dentissima-subgroup

| Sp | ecies | Distribution | |
|----|----------------------------------|--------------|--|
| 1. | dentissima Bock & Wheeler, 1972: | | |
| 2. | 83 vumbae Bock & Wheeler, 1972: | Africa | |
| | 84 | Africa | |

yellowish-tan in both sexes, abdominal tergites without bands.

This subgroup is established for the single species *D.flavohirta* Malloch, 1924b: 354. The species was redescribed by Bock (1976); it is widespread in Australia (although known from few specimens) and, on structure of male genitalia, should be included in the *melanogaster*-group. The body coloration is unique within the group, but is possibly an adaptation to camouflage since the species appears to frequent flowers of *Eucalyptus*.

XIII. Species incertae sedis

Bock & Wheeler (1972) listed five species (apectinata Duda, 1931: 194; biarmipes Malloch, 1924a: 64; hypopygialis Duda, 1924b: 254; illata Walker, 1860: 168; miki Duda, 1924c: 274) which were, or had previously been regarded as, questionable members of the melanogaster-group. The last species (miki) was assigned to the obscuragroup, while sufficient information on the remainder was not available to permit definitive judgements.

The systematic position of hypopygialis has since been clarified (Bock & Parsons, 1978b), while biarmipes is included above in the suzukii-subgroup. According to Sturtevant's notes on the type of illata ('headless and hopeless'; quoted in Bock & Wheeler, 1972), the status of this species will probably remain forever in question. No further information is available on apectinata and the status of this species remains in doubt.

Discussion

It is now clear that the *melanogaster* speciesgroup represents one of the largest adaptive radiations in the genus *Drosophila*, exceeded, perhaps, only by the celebrated explosive speciation of the Hawaiian fauna. The majority of the species of the melano-gaster-group occur in the tropical rain forests of South-East Asia and New Guinea, and it thus seems most likely that the group originated in this area with subsequent expansions northwards into the eastern Palaearctic zone, eastwards into Samoa and Fiji, southwards into Australia and westwards into Africa. With the exception of the cosmopolitan species, four subgroups only are not represented in the Oriental region.

The melanogaster-subgroup is confined to Africa and Mauritius, evidently representing an early invasion westwards. The nipponica-subgroup, a small complex of only three species, is confined to Japan and Korea. The dentissima-subgroup, also consisting of few species, is confined to Africa and may have evolved there as a specialized offshoot of the montium-subgroup. Finally, the monotypic flavohirta-subgroup is unknown outside Australia; D.flavohirta, as noted above, appears to be a specialized species although its closest relatives are uncertain.

Accurate ecological information concerning the majority of melanogaster-group species is still unavailable but since most species are inhabitants of rain forests, are strongly attracted to fruit baits and are culturable on a laboratory medium suited to fruit-breeding species, it seems most probable that these species feed and breed in decaying rain forest fruits in nature, and that a diet of fruit is the primitive condition for the group. Three species, the members of the elegans- and flavohirta-subgroups, have apparently adapted successfully to flowers, and, of course, the cosmopolitans have adopted to urban refuse. It is interesting to note that within the general area of distribution of the melanogaster-group, other drosophilid radiations have also invaded the 'fruit niche'; members of the melanogastergroup must compete with the large immigransgroup of the subgenus Drosophila and a small number of species of the subgenus Scaptodrosophila as well as members of (at least) the genera Dettopsomyia, Liodrosophila, Sphaerogastrella and Zaprionus. However, species of other dipterous families are almost never collected along with drosophilids at rain forest fruit baits.

After reviewing the seventy-five named species then known, Bock & Wheeler (1972)

predicted that the *melanogaster*-group would continue to grow. Although that prediction has been amply fulfilled — the group has grown by 50% since 1972—it may confidently be predicted for two reasons that many new species still remain to be discovered. Firstly, recent 'point' studies — collections such as those of Takada *et al.* (1973) and Takada & Momma (1975) focusing on single localities—have yielded appreciable numbers of new species; and secondly, large areas of New Guinea, the Oriental region and Africa are still unexplored. Perhaps dozens of further species await discovery.

Given the state of complexity that the classification of the genus Drosophila has now reached, it may finally be appropriate to offer some comments on the subdivision of the melanogaster-group. The concept of the 'species-group' within the genus Drosophila was considered by Bock & Wheeler (1972), who regarded a species-group as the results of a major burst of speciation (within a subgenus) in a particular geographic region. It might, of course, be argued that such criteria are equally or as validly or even better applicable to subgenera or even genera, and that what are now recognized as species-groups and subgroups within the genus Drosophila might better be elevated to the ranks of genera and subgenera. Since this question would involve a restructuring of the entire classification of the Drosophilidae it cannot fairly be considered in isolation, that is to say with reference only to the melanogaster-group, and is therefore clearly outside the scope of this paper, but formal recognition of subgroups (or 'quasiformal' recognition since no provisions are made for species-groups and subgroups in the terms of the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature) may be defended on two grounds. Firstly, long-standing popular usage has given the present system of classification universal acceptance amongst students of the Drosophilidae and any attempt to effect a substantial change would be likely to meet with considerable resistance. Secondly, there are difficulties (as noted in specific cases above) in assigning several species to particular subgroups, that is to say, in deciding in which subgroup the problematical species should be included. If the subgroups were elevated to a higher rank, the problems posed by 'difficult'

and intermediate species would be both more critical and more contentious. For the time being at least, the present system of classification is the most suitable.

Acknowledgments

Grateful acknowledgments are made to Dr H. Schumann, Museum für Naturkunde, East Berlin, to Dr R. Lichtenberg, Naturhistorisches Museum Wien, and to the staff of the Entomology Department, British Museum of Natural History, for their generous help during visits by the author in January 1979 to study their collections.

References

Ashburner, M. & Lemeunier, F. (1976) Relationships within the melanogaster species subgroup of the genus Drosophila (Sophophora). I. Invesion polymorphisms in Drosophila melanogaster and Drosophila simulans. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, B, 193, 137-157.

Ayala, F.J. (1965a) Drosophila dominicana, a new sibling species of the serrata group. Pacific Insects, 7,620-622.

Ayala, F.J. (1965b) Sibling species of the Drosophila serrata group. Evolution, 19, 538-545.

Baimai, V. (1970a) Drosophila pseudomayri, a new species from New Guinea (Diptera: Drosophilidae). Pacific Insects, 12, 21-23.

Baimai, V. (1970b) Chromosomal polymorphism in Drosophila birchii. Journal of Heredity, 61, 22-34.

Baimai, V. (1979) A new species of the *Drosophila kikkawai* complex from Thailand (Diptera: Drosophilidae). *Pacific Insects*, 21, 235-240.

Becker, T. (1908) Dipteren der Kanarischen Inseln.

Mitteilungen aus dem Zoologischen Museum in
Berlin, 4, 1-180.

Begroth, E. (1894) Ueber einige australische Dipteren. Stettiner Entomologische Zeitung, 55, 71-75.

Bock, I.R. (1971a) Taxonomy of the Drosophila bipectinata species complex. University of Texas Publications, 7103, 273-280.

Bock, I.R. (1971b) Intra- and interspecific chromosomal inversions in the *Drosophila bipectinata* species complex. *Chromosoma*, 34, 206-229.

Bock, I.R. (1972) Hybridization between *D.lutea* and *D.paralutea*. *Drosophila Information Service*, 49, 84.

Bock, I.R. (1976) Drosophilidae of Australia. I. Drosophila (Insecta: Diptera). Australian Journal of Zoology Supplementary Series, No. 40, 1-105.

Bock, I.R. (1978) The bipectinata complex: a study in interspecific hybridization in the genus Drosophila (Insecta: Diptera). Australian Journal of Biological Sciences, 31, 197-208.

- Bock, I.R. & Parsons, P.A. (1977) Species diversities in Drosophila (Diptera): a dependence upon rain forest type of the Queensland (Australian) humid tropics. Journal of Biogeography, 4, 203-213.
- Bock, I.R. & Parsons, P.A. (1978a) Australian endemic Drosophila. IV. Queensland rain forests species collected at fruit baits, with descriptions of two species. Australian Journal of Zoology, 26, 91-103.
- Bock, I.R. & Parsons, P.A. (1978b) The subgenus Scaptodrosophila (Diptera: Drosophilidae). Systematic Entomology, 3, 91-102.
- Bock. I.R. & Wheeler. M.R. (1972) The Drosophila melanogaster species group. University of Texas Publications, 7213, 1-102.
- Burla, H. (1954a) Zur Kenntnis der Drosophiliden der Elfenbeinküste (Französisch West-Afrika). Revue Suisse de Zoologie, 61, fascicule supplementairen, 1-218.
- Burla, H. (1954b) Distinction between four species of the 'melanogaster' group, 'Drosophila seguyi', 'D.montium', 'D.kikkawai' sp.n. and 'D.auraria' (Drosophilidae, Diptera). Revista Brasileira de Biologia, 14, 41-54.
- Choo, J-K, & Nakamura, K. (1973) On a new species of Drosophila (Sophophora) from Japan (Diptera). Kontyû, 41, 305-306.
- Cook, R.M., Parsons, P.A. & Bock, I.R. (1977) Australian endemic Drosophila. II, A new Hibiscus-breeding species with its description. Australian Journal of Zoology, 25, 755-763.
- Dobzhansky, Th. & Mather, W.B. (1961) The evolutionary status of Drosophila serrata. Evolution, 15, 461-467.
- Doleschall, C.L. (1858) Derde Bijdrage tot de kennis der Dipteren Fauna van Nederlandsch Indië. Naturkundig Tijdschrift voor Nederlandsch Indië, 17, 73-128.
- Duda, O. (1923) Die orientalischen und australischen Drosophiliden-Arten (Dipteren) des ungarischen National Museums zu Budapest. Annales Musci Nationalis Hungarici, 20, 24-59.
- Duda, O. (1924a) Beitrag zur Systematik der Drosophiliden unter besonderer Berücksichtigung der paläarktischen u. orientalischen Arten (Dipteren). Archiv für Naturgeschichte, 90(A3), 172 - 234.
- Duda, O. (1924b) Die Drosophiliden (Dipteren) des Deutschen Entomologischen Institutes d. Kaiser Willhelm-Gesellschaft (früheres Deutsches Entomologisches Museum) aus H. Sauter's Formosa-Ausbeute. Archiv für Naturgeschichte, 90(A3), 235 - 259.
- Duda, O. (1924c) Revision der europäischen Arten der Gattung Drosophila Fallén (Dipt.). Entomologiske Meddelelser, 14, 246-313.
- Duda, O. (1931) Chloropidae, Drosophilidae. Dipterologischer Beitrag zu der von H.J. Feuerborn, F. Ruttner und A. Thienemann im Jahre 1928 und 1929 nach Java, Sumatra und Bali unternommennen limnologischen Forschungsreise. Archiv für Hydrobiologie Supplement, 9, 192–198.
- Futch, D.G. (1966) A study of speciation in South Pacific populations of Drosophila ananassac. University of Texas Publications, 6615, 99-120.

- Gallo, A.J. (1973) Morphological distinction between female Drosophila melanogaster and female D.simulans. Ciência e Cultura, 25, 341-345.
- Graber, H. (1957) Afrikanische Drosophiliden als Blütenbesucher. Zoologische Jahrbücher, Abteilung für Systematik, 85, 305-316.
- Gupta, J.P. (1969) A new species of Drosophila Fallén (Insecta: Diptera: Drosophilidae) from India. Proceedings of the Zoological Society of Calcutta, 22, 53-61.
- Gupta, J.P. (1974) The family Drosophilidae in India. Indian Biologist, 5, 7-30.
- Gupta, J.P. & Ray-Chaudhuri, S.P. (1970) The genus Drosophila (Diptera: Drosophilidae) in Andaman and Nicobar Islands, India. Oriental Insects, 4, 199-175.
- Hardy, D.E. (1965) Volume 12 Drosophilidae. Insects of Hawatt. University of Hawati Press, Honolulu.
- Hsu, T.C. (1949) The external genital apparatus of male Drosophilidae in relation to systematics. University of Texas Publications, 4920, 80-142.
- Kaneshiro, K. (1974) Phylogenetic relationships of Hawaiian Drosophilidae based on morphology. In: Genetics Mechanisms of Speciation in Insects (ed. by M.J.D. White). ANZ Book Co., Sydney.
- Kikkawa, H. & Peng, F.T. (1938) Drosophila species of Japan and adjacent localities. Japanese Journal of Zoology, 7, 507-552.
- Lamb, C.G. (1914) The Percy Sladen Trust Expedition to the Indian Ocean in 1905. No. 15: Diptera: Drosophilidae and other families. Transactions of the Linnean Society of London, Series 2, 16, 307-372.
- Lee, T.J. (1959) On a new species, 'Drosophila clarofinis' sp.nov. Korean Journal of Zoology, 2,43-45.
- Lemeunier, F. & Ashburner, M. (1976) Relationships in the melanogaster species subgroup of the genus Drosophila (Sophophora), II. Phylogenetic relationships between six species based upon polytene chromosome banding sequences. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, B, 193, 275-294.
- Loew, H. (1861) Diptera Americae septentrionalis indigena. Berliner Entomologische Zeitschrift, 6, 185 - 232.
- Lin, F.J. (1972) Descriptions of Drosophila lucipennis and D.prostipennis: in Bock, I.R. & Wheeler, M.R. (1972) The Drosophila melanogaster species group. University of Texas Publications, 7213, 1-102.
- Macquart, J. (1843) Diptères exotiques, 2, 3.
- Malloch, J.R. (1924a) Two Drosophilidae from Coimbatore. Memoirs of the Department of Agriculture in India, Entomological Series, 8, 63 - 65.
- Malloch, J.R. (1924b) Notes on Australian Diptera, IV. Proceedings of the Linnean Society of New South Wales, 49, 348-359.
 - Malloch, J.R. (1927) Notes on Australian Diptera X. Proceedings of the Linnean Society of New South Wales, 52, 1-16.
 - Malloch, J.R. (1933) Some acalyptrate Diptera from

- the Marquesas Islands. Bulletin of the Bernice P. Bishop Museum, 114, 3-31.
- Mather, W.B. (1957) Genetic relationships of four Drosophila species from Australia (Diptera: Drosophilidae). University of Texas Publications, 5721, 221-225.
- Mather, W.B. & Dobzhansky, Th. (1962) Two new species of *Drosophila* from New Guinea (Diptera: Drosophilidae). *Pacific Insects*, 4, 245-249.
- Matsumura, S. (1931) Six Thousand Illustrated Insects of Japan Empire. Tokyo.
- Meigen, J.W. (1830) Systematische Beschreibung der hekannten europäischen zweiflügeligen Insekten. Band 6. Schulzische Buchhandlung, Hamm.
- Meijere, J.C.H. de (1916) Studien über südostasiatische Dipteren. XI. Tijdschrift voor Entomologie, 59, 184-213.
- Meltzen, J., Elings, H. & Philips-Roxane, N.V. (1952) Enige biologische bijzonderheden van Drosophila fasciata Meigen. Entomologische Berichten, 14, 55-60.
- Moriwaki, D. & Tobari, Y.N. (1975) Drosophila ananassae. Chapter 19 in Handbook of Genetics (ed. by R. C. King). Plenum, New York.
- Okada, T. (1954) Comparative morphology of the drosophilid flies. I. Phallic organs of the *melanogaster* group. Kontyû, 22, 36-46.
- Okada, T. (1955) Fauna and Flora of Nepal Himalaya, I. *Drosophila* (ed. by H. Kihara), pp. 387-390. Fauna and Flora Research Society, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan.
- Okada, T. (1956) Systematic Study of Drosophilidae and Allied Families of Japan. Gihodo, Tokyo.
- Okada, T. (1964a) Drosophilidae (Diptera) of South-East Asia collected by the Thai-Japanese Biological Expedition. Nature and Life in South-East Asia, 3, 439-466.
- Okada, T. (1964b) New and unrecorded species of Drosophilidae in the Amami Islands, Japan. Kontyû, 32, 105-115.
- Okada, T. (1965) Drosophilidae of the Okinawa Islands. Kontyû, 33, 327-350.
- Okada, T. (1966) Diptera from Nepal. Cryptochaetidae, Diastatidae and Drosophilidae. Bulletin of the British Museum (Natural History), Entomology Supplement 6.
- Okada, T. (1974) New names for two Japanese species of *Drosophila* Fallén (Diptera, Drosophilidae). Kontyû, 22, 282.
- Okada, T. (1975) A new name for *Drosophila luteola* Okada, not Hardy (Diptera, Drosophilidae). Kontyû, 43, 241.
- Parshad, R. & Paika, I.J. (1964) Drosophilid survey of India. II. Taxonomy and cytology of the subgenus Sophophora (Drosophila). Research Bulletin (N.S.) of the Panjab University, 15, 225-252.
- Parshad, R. & Singh, A. (1971) Drosophilid survey of India. IV. The Drosophilidae of South Andamans. Research Bulletin (N.S.) of the Panjab University, 22, 385-399.
- Peng, F.T. (1937) On some species of *Drosophila* from China. *Annotationes Zoologicae Japonenses*, **16**, 20-27.
- Prakash, H.S. & Reddy, G.S. (1976) Genetic studies

- on the variability of the apical wing patch in Drosophila rajasekari. Proceedings of the L.C. Dunn and Th. Dobzhansky Memorial Symposium on Genetics, December 16-18, 1976 (University of Mysore), pp. 1-14.
- Prakash, H.S & Reddy, G.S. (1977) Two new species of *Drosophila (melanogaster* species group) (Diptera: Drosophilidae). *Oriental Insects*, 11, 597-604.
- Prakash, H.S. & Reddy, G.S. (1978) Drosophila agumbensis, sp.nov. from Karnataka, South India (Diptera: Drosophilidae). Oriental Insects, 12, 259-263.
- Prakash, H.S. & Reddy, G.S. (1979a) A new species of the takahashii subgroup of genus Drosophila (Diptera: Drosophilidae). Entomon, 4, 73-76.
- Prakash, H.S. & Reddy, G.S. (1979b) Drosophila fauna of Sahyadri Hills (Western Chats) with description of a new species. Proceedings of the Indian Academy of Sciences, B, 88, 65-72.
 - Ray-Chaudhuri, S.P. & Mukherjee, D.P. (1941) Indian Journal of Entomology, 3, [as quoted by Parshad & Paika, 1964].
 - Reddy, G.S. & Krishnamurthy, N.B. (1968) Drosophila rajasekari a new species from Mysore (India). Proceedings of the Indian Academy of Sciences, 68, 202-205.
 - Reddy, G.S. & Krishnamurthy, N.B. (1970) Drosophila mysorensis a new species of Drosophila (Diptera: Drosophilidae) from Mysore, South India. Journal of Biological Sciences, 13, 24-29.
 - Reddy, G.S. & Krishnamurthy, N.B. (1973) Two new species of the montium subgroup of genus Drosophila (Diptera: Drosophilidae). Oriental Insects, 7, 259-265.
 - Rondani, C. (1975) Bull. Comizio agrario Parmense; and Bull. Ent. Ital., 8, [as quoted by Wheeler, 1959].
 - Smart, J. (1945) Drosophila subobscura Collin: descriptive notes on the species with comments on its nomenclatoral status (Diptera). Proceedings of the Royal Entomological Society of London, B, 14, 53-56.
 - Sturtevant, A.H. (1916) Notes on North American Drosophilidae with descriptions of 23 new species. Annals of the Entomological Society of America, 9, 323-343.
 - Sturtevant, A.H. (1919) A new species closely resembling Drosophila melanogaster. Psyche, 26, 153-155.
 - Sturtevant, A.H. (1927) Philippine and other Oriental Drosophilidae. Philippine Journal of Science, 32, 361-374.
 - Sturtevant, A.H. (1939) On the subdivision of the genus Drosophila. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 25, 137-141.
 - Sturtevant, A.H. (1942) The classification of the genus *Drosophila*, with descriptions of nine new species. *University of Texas Publications*, 4213, 5-51.
 - Takada, H. & Momma, E. (1975) Distribution and population constitution of *Drosophila* in South-East Asia and Oceania. II. Drosophilidae in the suburbs of Kuala Lumpur, West Malaysia. *Journal*

- of the Faculty of Science, Hokkaido University, Series VI, Zoology, 20, 9-48.
- Takada, H., Momma, E. & Shima, T. (1973) Distribution and population constitution of *Drosophila* in South-East Asia and Oceania. I. Drosophilidae at Mt. Kinabalu, East Malaysia. *Journal of the Faculty of Science, Hokkaido University, Series VI, Zoology*, 19, 73-94.
- Tan, C.C., Hsu, T.C. & Sheng, T.C. (1949) Known Drosophila species in China with descriptions of twelve new species. University of Texas Publications, 4920, 196-206.
- Tsacas. L. (1971) Drosophila teissieri. nouvelle espèce africaine de groupe melanogaster et note sur deux autres espèces nouvelles pour l'Afrique. Bulletin de la Société Entomologique de France, 76, 35-45.
- Tsacas, L. (1974) Drosophila matilei, nouvelle espèce de l'Ouest Cameroun, du groupe melanogaster, et redescription de D.microlabis Seguy. Bulletin de la Société Entomologique de France, 79, 146-151.
- Tsacas, L. (1975) Drosophila davidi sp.nov. of the melanogaster species group from Congo (Diptera, Drosophilidae). Annals of the University of Brazzaville, C, 11, 127-130.
- Tsacas, L. & David, J. (1974) Drosophila mauritiana n.sp. du groupe melanogaster de l'Île Maurice. Bulletin de la Société Entomologique de France, 79. 42-46.
- Tsacas, L. & David, J. (1975) Les Drosophilidae (Diptera) de l'Ile de la Réunion et de l'Ile Maurice. I. Deux nouvelles espéces du genre Drosophila. Bulletin Mensuel de la Société Linnéenne de Lyon, 44, 134-143.
- Tsacas, L. & David, J. (1977) Systematics and biogeography of the *Drosophila kikkawai* complex, with descriptions of new species (Diptera,

- Drosophilidae). Annales de la Société Entomologique de France (N.S.), 13, 675-693.
- Tsacas, L. & David, J. (1978) Une septième espèce appartenant au sous-groupe Drosophila melanogaster Meigen: Drosophila orena spec.nov. du Cameroun (Diptera: Drosophilidae). Beiträge zur Entomologie, Berlin, 28, 179-182.
- Tsacas, L. & Lachaise, D. (1974) Quatre nouvelles espèces de la Cote-d'Ivoire du genre Drosophila, groupe melanogaster, et discussion de l'origine du sous-groupe melanogaster (Diptera: Drosophilidae). Annales de l'Université d'Abidjan, Serie E: Ecologie, 7, 193-211.
- Walker, F. (1852) Insecta Saundersiana: characters of undescribed Insects in the collection of W.W. Saunders. Vol. 1. Diptera.
- Walker, F. (1859) Proceedings of the Linnean Society of London, 3, [as quoted by Wheeler, 1959].
- Walker, F. (1860) Proceedings of the Linnean Society of London, 4, [as quoted by Wheeler, 1959].
- Wheeler, M.R. (1959) A nomenclatural study of the genus Drosophila. University of Texas Publications, 5914, 181-205.
- Wheeler, M.R. & Takada, H. (1964) Diptera: Drosophilidae. *Insects of Micronesia*, 14, 163-242.
- Williston, S.W. (1896) On the Diptera of St. Vincent (West Indies). Transactions of the Entomological Society of London, 1896, 253-446.
- Woodruff, R.C. & Thompson, J.N. (1977) An analysis of spontaneous recombination in *Drosophila melanogaster* males. Isolation and characterization of male recombination lines. *Heredity*, 38, 291-307.
- Zetterstedt, J.W. (1847) Diptera scandinaviae disposita et descripta, 6.

Accepted 8 January 1980