New synonym of *Drosophila yakuba* Burla, 1954 (Diptera: Drosophilidae)

S. F. McEVEY Laboratoire de Biologie et Génétique Evolutives, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Gif-sur-Yvette, France

> Abstract. New biological and bibliographic information confirms that the widely accepted name Drosophila yakuba Burla, 1954 and the unused name Drosophila opisthomelaina are synonymous. The latter was coined by Nolte & Stoch in a 1950 work containing a disclaimer and is unavailable by strict application of Article 8b of the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature (3rd edn, 1985). In a previously overlooked publication, Nolte (1958) cites D. opisthomelaina as a senior synonym; the work also contains diagnostic information. Synonymy is not disputed but before 1958 the name was unavailable. Thus D. opisthomelaina Nolte, 1958 is treated as a junior rather than a senior synonym of D.yakuba. These nomenclatural corrections remove confusion concerning records of this species in southern Africa and will probably be met with general approval. The distribution in southern Africa and Madagascar of D.yakuba and closely related species: D.melanogaster Meigen, 1830, D.simulans Sturtevant, 1919 and D.teissieri Tsacas, 1971, are summarized.

Introduction

There are an increasing number of evolutionary studies of the seven species closely related to Drosophila melanogaster in their native Afrotropical habitats. These studies have recently been reviewed by Lemeunier et al. (1986) and Lachaise et al. (1987). On the basis of ecological, biogeographic, behavioural and pheromonal characteristics these species are believed to form a monophyletic group (Lachaise et al., 1986; Cobb et al., 1985, 1986; Robertson, 1983; Jallon & David, 1987). They are also morphologically very similar (Bock & Wheeler, 1972; Lemeunier et al., 1986; Bock, 1980) and are thus classified together in the melanogaster subgroup. As a result of increased interest in these species as models for research into speciation (Robertson,

Correspondence: Dr Shane F. McEvey, Wesley College, University of Sydney 2006, Australia.

1983; Cobb et al., 1985; Lachaise et al., 1987) and natural interspecific introgression (Solignac & Monnerot, 1986; Solignac et al., 1986), accurate information about distribution and habitat are of great importance.

Four species of the *melanogaster* subgroup occur in southern Africa but one of them has two names and consequently the biogeography is confused. The confusion is resolved below by suppressing one of the names.

Discussion

In 1950 Nolte and Stoch reported the discovery of a new species of *Drosophila* from the 'northeastern parts' of South Africa (the Northern Transvaal); they named it *Drosophila opisthomelaina*. They noted that it was morphologically close to, but distinct from, *D.melanogaster* and *D.simulans*. Their 'description' and the later description of *D.yakuba* Burla (1954), from the

Ivory Coast, evidently refer to a very similar taxon. The two names were recognized as being synonymous first by Nolte (1958) and later by Paterson (unpublished), but there has been lingering doubt (e.g. Tsacas, 1980; Lemeunier et al., 1986) about the availability of the name 'D.opisthomelaina' because of the dubious status of the publication in which it first appeared (Wheeler, 1981a) and because of earlier uncertainty about the synonymy.

In both descriptions there is reference to curious, deeply furrowed cerci quite unlike the uninterrupted cercal form found in D.melanogaster or D.simulans. Under normal circumstances a comparison between the material used by Nolte and Stoch on the one hand and the type-material of D. vakuba on the other, would have resolved a question of synonymy. However, Nolte and Stoch did not designate types nor did they indicate that material had been deposited at a museum. Their material cannot now be found. Before it was lost however, Paterson (pers. comm.) compared living material from one of Nolte's 'D. opisthomelaina' strains (Inhaca Island, Mozambique) and Burla's (1954) description of D.yakuba. Paterson detected no difference and concluded that the taxon 'described' by Nolte and Stoch was synonymous with D.yakuba; this finding was not published.

The 1950 'description' by Nolte and Stoch was printed in Drosophila Information Service (DIS number 24, page 90) at a time when its status as a formal publication was equivocal. On the cover of DIS upto number 29 of 1955, there was the disclaimer: 'This is not a publication...' (Wheeler, 1981a). In the third edition of the code (ICZN, 1985), Article 8b reads: 'Purpose may be disclaimed. A work that contains a disclaimer that it is issued for permanent scientific record is not published within the meaning of the Code.' Thus, by strict application of Art. 8b, a name published in DIS while it carried the disclaimer is not available for the purpose of the Code, and the 1950 'description' by Nolte and Stoch is deemed not formally published; Drosophila opisthomelaina sensu lato is not available and in 1954 when D. yakuba was described, there was no synonym.

When Wheeler (1981a) addressed this nomenclatural problem his conclusion was based on the authoritative opinions of Basden, Sabrosky and Melville, he was unable to appeal to an article concerning editorial disclaimers in the second edition (1964) of the Code. In addition he was apparently unaware (Wheeler, 1981a, 1986) of the 1958 publication in which Nolte cited *D.yakuba* as a junior synonym of *D.opisthomelaina* (Nolte, 1958: 519).

Correspondence about the status of *DIS* as a publication passed between E. B. Basden, R. V. Melville, C. W. Sabrosky, L. Tsacas, M. R. Wheeler and G. B. White in the late 1970s, extracts of which have been published (Wheeler, 1981a). Copies of some original letters have been read for consideration in the present work. The opinion upon which there seems general concurrence is that printed disclaimers have very little bearing on whether a work is actually published. Never-the-less, it is also evident, especially in light of the present case, that disclaimers lead to nomenclatural instability. Thus, Art. 8b of the Code can be brought to bear here in order to maintain stability.

In a 1958 publication, in the journal Evolution, Nolte uses the name D.opisthomelaina and he treats it as having been published in 1950. This reference (Nolte, 1958) to D.opisthomelaina must, however, be treated as the first mention, and the accompanying details, which may be used to distinguish the taxon from D.simulans and D.melanogaster, as its description. Nolte's 1958 paper shows that there are differences in the diameter of the eve. the relative amounts of red and brown eye-pigments and the ratios of these amounts in the three species. Furthermore, Nolte (1958: 519) identifies the synonymy between D.opisthomelaina and D. yakuba suppressing the latter as a junior synonym. Although there is no doubt now about the synonymy, the suppression of D.yakuba is not acceptable.

The conclusion here then is to treat *Drosophila opisthomelaina* Nolte, 1958: 519, 520, 522, 524, 525, 529 as a **new synonym** of *Drosophila yakuba* Burla, 1954: 161.

It is expected that this solution will meet with general approval because stability is maintained. Since the early 1970s numerous authors have applied the name *D.yakuba* in a great number of publications (more than sixty). Whereas since 1958 the name *D.opisthomelaina* has, as far as can be found, appeared in two taxonomic lists only (viz Tsacas, 1980; Lemeunier *et al.*, 1986), and even then only with reservations. Five papers by Nolte on the eye-pigmentary system

of *Drosophila* were published in the *Journal of Genetics* between his 1950 (*loc.cit.*) and 1958 (*loc.cit.*) works, but *D.opisthomelaina* was not mentioned in them.

Lachaise et al. (1987) describe the distribution of D.yakuba, D.teissieri, D.melanogaster and D. simulans in the Afrotropical Region including southern Africa and Madagascar. Records of collections made by the author between 1982 and 1985 in Zimbabwe, Swaziland and South Africa are included in the latter and in McEvey et al. (1988). Other southern African records of these species (Nolte, 1958; Agnew, 1976) were not mentioned by Lachaise et al. (1987) but the several collection sites (Inhaca Island, Nelspruit, Mkuzi, Limpopo and Johannesburg) lie within the ranges figured by Lachaise et al. (1987). The drosophilid fauna of Zimbabwe remains poorly studied and there are no records of D. vakuba from there although it occurs both to the north (e.g. Kenya) and south (e.g. Limpopo River and Swaziland). Recent collections in Madagascar (by the author with J. R. David and S. Aulard) have confirmed that D.simulans is well established there while D.melanogaster and D.yakuba are less abundant. It is noteworthy that the iso-female type strain of D.teissieri, which was collected by H. E. Paterson at Mt Selinda (Zimbabwe) in 1970, remains the only record of this species in southern Africa. Differences in the morphology of the male terminalia and sperm are known to exist between it and strains from equatorial Africa (Lachaise et al., 1981; Joly, 1989); such variation is unknown in other species of the melanogaster subgroup therefore D.teissieri warrents closer taxonomic study.

Acknowledgments

Field work in southern Africa was supported by the University of the Witwatersrand and CSIR, and in Madagascar by CNRS grants: ECOTROP, RCP (Systématique) and ATP-ASP (Evolution).

References

- Agnew, J. (1976) A case of myophily involving Drosophilidae (Diptera). *Journal of South African Botany*, **42**, 85–95.
- Bock, I.K. (1980) Current status of the *Drosophila* melanogaster species-group (Diptera). Systematic

- Entomology, 5, 341-356.
- Bock, I.R. & Wheeler, M.R. (1972) The Drosophila melanogaster species group. University of Texas Publications, 7213, 1–102.
- Burla, H. (1954) Zur Kenntnis der Drosophiliden der Elfenbeinkuste (Franzosisch West-Afrika). Revue Suisse de Zoologie, 61, (Suppl.), 1–218.
- Cobb, M., Burnet, B. & Connolly, K. (1986) The structure of courtship in the *Drosophila melano*gaster species sub-group. *Behaviour*, 97, 182–212.
- Cobb, M., Connolly, K. & Burnet, B. (1985) Courtship behaviour in the *melanogaster* species subgroup of *Drosophila*. *Behaviour*, 95, 203–231.
- International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature (1964) International Code of Zoological Nomenclature Adopted by the XV International Congress of Zoology, London, July 1958. Published 1961. Revised (2nd) Edition 1964.
- International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature (1985) *International Code of Zoological Nomenclature*, 3rd edn. University of California Press.
- Jallon, J.-M. & David, J.R. (1987) Variations in cuticular hydrocarbons among the eight species of the *Drosophila melanogaster* subgroup. *Evolution*, 41, 294–302.
- Joly, D. (1989) Diversité des spermatozoides et competition spermatique chez les *Drosophila*. Thèse, Université de Paris Sud, France.
- Lachaise, D., Cariou, M.-L., David, J.R.,
 Lemeunier, F., Tsacas, L. & Ashburner, M. (1987)
 Historical biogeography of the *Drosophila melanogaster* species subgroup. *Evolutionary Biology*, Vol. 22 (ed. by M. K. Hecht, B. Wallace and G. T. Prance), pp. 159–225. Plenum Publishing Corporation, New York.
- Lachaise, D., David, J.R., Lemeunier, F., Tsacas, L. & Ashburner, M. (1986) The reproductive relationships of *Drosophila sechellia* with *D. mauritiana*, *D. simulans* and *D. metanogaster* from the Afrotropical region. *Evolution*, 40, 262–271.
- Lachaise, D., Lemeunier, F. & Veuille, M. (1981) Clinal variations in male genitalia in *Drosophila* teissieri Tsacas. American Naturalist, 117, 600-608.
- Lemeunier, F., David, J.R., Tsacas, L. & Ashburner, M. (1986) The *melanogaster* species group. *The Genetics and Biology of Drosophila*, Vol. 3e (ed. by M. Ashburner, H. L. Carson and J. N. Thompson, Jr), pp. 147–256. Academic Press, London.
- McEvey, S.F., Potts, A., Rogers, G. & Walls, S.J. (1988) A key to Drosophilidae (Insecta: Diptera) collected in areas of human settlement in southern Africa. *Journal of the Entomological Society of Southern Africa*, **51**, 171–181.
- Meigen, J.W. (1830) Systematische Beschreibung der bekannten europäischen zweiflügeligen Insekten, Band. 6. Schulzische Buchhandlung, Hamm.

- Nolte, D.J. (1958) Eye pigment relationships in three species groups of *Drosophila*. Evolution, 12, 519-531.
 - Robertson, H.M. (1983) Mating behavior and the evolution of *Drosophila mauritiana*. Evolution, 37, 1283–1293.
 - Solignac, M. & Monnerot, M. (1986) Race formation, speciation, and introgression within *Drosophila* simulans, *D.mauritiana* and *D.sechellia* inferred from mitochondrial DNA analyses. Evolution, 40, 531–539.
 - Solignac, M., Monnerot, M. & Moundolou, J.-C. (1986) Mitochondrial DNA evolution in the melanogaster species subgroup of Drosophila. Journal of Molecular Evolution, 25, 31–40.
 - Sturtevant, A.H. (1919) A new species closely resembling *Drosophila melanogaster*. Psyche (Cambridge), 26, 153–155.
 - Tsacas, L. (1971) *Drosophila teissieri*, nouvelle espèce africaine du groupe *melanogaster* et note sur deux autres espèces nouvelles pour l'Afrique (Dipt.

- Drosophilidae). Bulletin de la Société Entomologique de France, 76, 35-45.
- Tsacas, L. (1980) Family Drosophilidae. Catalogue of the Diptera of the Afrotropical Region (ed. by R. W. Crosskey), pp. 673–685. British Museum of Natural History, London.
- Wheeler, M.R. (1981a) Are the new species described in DIS validly published? *Drosophila Information Service*, **56**, 157–158.
- Wheeler, M.R. (1981b) The Drosophilidae: a taxonomic overview. *The Genetics and Biology of Drosophila*, Vol. 3a (ed. by M. Ashburner, H. L. Carson and J. N. Thompson, Jr), pp. 1–97. Academic Press, London.
- Wheeler, M.R. (1981b) The Drosophildae: a taxonomic overview. *The Genetics and Biology of Biology of Drosophila*, Vol. 3c (ed. by M. Ashburner, H. L. Carson and J. N. Thompson, Jr), pp. 395–409. Academic Press, London.

Accepted 20 January 1990