Notes on the Camillidae (Diptera) in Strobl's Collection and on the Biology of Camilla

By

E. B. Basden

Agricultural Research Council Unit of Animal Genetics, Edinburgh 9.

Amongst the Drosophilidae sent from Graz (BASDEN, 1961) were Strobl's specimens of *Camilla* Hal. Duda (1934) had not seen theseand he was uncertain of the identity of Strobl's species. Therefore the opportunity is taken of reporting on them here.

In his various papers STROBL recorded one species (glabra Fall.) and three new varieties (atrimana, nigripes and rufipes), which I treat here as species; as well as a Spanish female of glabra with black antennae, and two male forms of glabra from Austrian Littorale.

Data of the specimens in the collection are included in the final list in the paper on Stroble's Drosophilidae (Basden, 1961), which should be consulted. Notes on the species are given below.

~ Camilla atrimana Strobl (= atripes Duda). New synonymy.

This was described (1910: 210) as a variety of C. glabra, from 2 δ , Admont and Seitenstetten. The two existing specimens are 1 δ (No. Str 146 of the collection) and 1 \circ (Str 147). The δ is obviously the original specimen and has been selected and labelled by me as Lectotype of Camilla atrimana Strobl. The female must be the other δ , as it is from Ybbsthal (Seitenstetten), therefore it has been selected and labelled as syntype. No further specimens of

atrimana were recorded, but No 147 may have been recorded previously, as Drosophila glabra, (Strobi, 1880: 35).

Both agree with Strobl.'s description and with that of *C. atripes* Duda (1934: 5), which species Duda clearly thought might be the same as *atrimana*, and I have no hesitation in confirming the name *atripes* Duda as a synonym of *atrimana* Strobl. (Duda gives the date of the latter as 1900 instead of 1910).

In Stroble's specimens the arista is long and drooping to just below the vibrissae; antennae wholly brown (\mathfrak{P}) , 3rd. jt. black (\mathfrak{F}) , 3rd jt. long-haired in both sexes. Cheeks below lowest point of eye narrower than width of 3rd. antennal jt. First legs wholly black (trochanter brown); 2nd and 3rd legs with coxae and femora black, last tarsal jt. brown, rest of legs yellow; \mathfrak{F} front femur somewhat swollen. One-third from end of 1st femur is a quite small but distinct antero-ventral spine (as in glabra (= subfuscipes Coll.)); behind (not level with) the longest postero-ventral bristle. Tergite 3 of abdomen with central triangular area of micro-pubescence reaching back almost to hind margin (\mathfrak{F}) or to half way (\mathfrak{P}) . The stronger costal fringe of wing extends halfway between ends of 2nd and 3rd veins (\mathfrak{F}) to slightly beyond (\mathfrak{P}) . The label beside the \mathfrak{F} refers to the penis, but Strobl does not mention this organ in his description, though he does for *C. nigripes* (1906: 372). Genitalia not examined.

√ Camilla nigripes (Strobl) = acutipennis (Loew). New synonymy.

The Strob1 species was described (1900a: 5) as Noterophila (Noteromyia) acutipennis Lw. var.? nigripes (sic), $1 \circlearrowleft 1 \circlearrowleft$ from the Sierra Morena, Spain. StrobL was not sure that these Spanish specimens were distinct from Loew's Grecian ones (of N. acutipennis), though later (1906: 372; CZERNY & STROBL, 1909: 280) the? is dropped. Loew described acutipennis in January, 1866.

There are 4 &, 8 \(\rightarrow \) in the collection (Str 286—291) but the Ronda & of 1906 is absent. Str 289, 290 are Becker specimens (labelled Camilla acutipennis) from Athens, which Strobl. (1906: 372) reported as agreeing completely with his Spanish ones. Even so he still recorded the latter as acutipennis var. nigripes. All 12 specimens are undoubtedly acutipennis Lw., so the name nigripes Strobl becomes a synonym, as was doubtfully surmised by Duda (1934: 4).

Becker also sent Greek specimens of acutipennis to Oldenburg, who (1914: 28) discussed its characters and suggested semi-seriously the generic nickname (Spitzname) »Oxycamilla» for it, as separate from Camilla. But in the following part of the sentence he refutes this separation as not absolutely essential and that it should not be done in this case. Therefore I do not accept Oxycamilla as a valid name.

In 1922 Enderlein selected *Noterophila acutipennis* Lw. as genotype of his new, monobasic genus *Ambacis*, in the Drosophilidae. This manoeuvre was

overlooked by Duda and others, but it does not seem necessary to retain Ambacis above subgeneric rank.

Str 286 are the original pair, from Cardenas, which place Strobl described in Part I of his series on Spanish Diptera (1898, Wien Ent. Ztg., 17 (10): 294) as, »... Venta de Cardenas, einer einsamen Station inmitten der Sierra Morena, die ich am 23. und 24. April [1898] untersuchte.» The male has been selected and labelled as Lectotype and the female as Syntype of Noterophila nigripes Strobl. The female, being loose on the minuten pin, was gummed by me to the pith mount.

Duda (op.cit.) says that the anteroventral spine on front femur is wanting, but it is present as a minute rudimentary black peglet, much smaller than in glabra or atrimana, one-third distant from the end. Legs wholly blackened, with in some specimens the hinder tarsi and ventral trochanter area browner. Arista shorter haired above and below than in atrimana, only the basal 3-4 hairs above being long, the rest of the arista pectinate to pubescent, and pubescent below, and 3rd antennal jt. not so obviously hairy. Thorax glossy, with traces of dusting at sides and anteriorly; 3rd tergite with dusting on basal third. The stronger costal fringe extends to the 3rd vein in all specimens except in the one wing of the $\mathcal P$ syntype, where it extends only halfway between 2nd and 3rd veins. Cheeks broader than usual, especially posteriorily; below lowest point of eye quite as wide as or wider than width of 3rd antennal jt. A shining, metallic black insect.

Camilla rufipes (Strobl) (? = fuscipes Coll.).

In 1893 (p. 132) Strobl recorded three forms of *Noterophila glabra* Fln., taken by G. B. Novak at Zara (Dalmatia). These were (1) 1 σ with femora almost wholly brown-black; (2) 1 σ with legs almost wholly yellow-red; and (3) 1 \circ with legs almost entirely red, and with cheeks, part of the face and a transverse band over the antennae red. To the last specimen he gave the name var *rufipes*. He referred to it again in 1898 (see Strobl, 1900 b).

Although Strobi, himself collected at Zara in 1879 (Strobi, 1893: 29) he records (see above) only Novak specimens of any Camilla (Noterophila) from

there, but the main label in the collection has »Zara», with »Strobl» in shorthand. However, he states (l.c.) that he took possession of Novak's specimens that he (Strobl) determined, therefore the Novak specimens would be in Strobl's collection not in Novak's (which is or was at Zagreb (= Agram)). Therefore I consider Str 148 is the type and it has been selected and labelled as Lectotype of Noterophila rufipes Strobl. The left wing, being loose, was transferred to a balsam pin-mount.

C. fuscipes Collin (1933: 273; 1956; 226) appears to be conspecific with C. rufipes (Strobl), but the former usually has coxae and femora darkened. More continental specimens need to be examined to decide any synonymy.

Camilla glabra (Fln.) (= subfuscipes Coll.).

It appears that Strobl. considered this species should have some darkening on the legs for he mentions (1898: 266) that *Drosophila nigricolor* n.sp. differs from *Noterophila glabra* in having wholly red legs. The female with black antennae, from Elche, Spain (Czerny & Strobl, 1909: 280) is not in the collection, but the following 6 specimens are: —

Str 149, $\,$, from Kalocsa is a typical glabra. (Kindly confirmed by J. E. Collin). Not recorded by Strobl. The label bears the letter v as though indicating a variety but it might be part of the date.

Str 150, &, from Austrian Littorale is glabra. The femora are quite dark and it could be the No. 1 form collected by Novak at Zara (see under rufipes).

Str 151, 3, from Arriach (Strobl, 1901: 240, as female) is glabra. (Confirmed by J. E. COLLIN).

Str 152, 3, from Zara (Novak) is *glabra*. This could well be the No. 2 form mentioned under *rufipes*, the legs being unicolorous light brown, the femora appearing darkish when in the shade of the body.

Str 153, &, without label is unknown to me. Coxae and femora blackened, 3rd antennal jt. black, a long spine on 1st femur, only a little dusting on thorax and 3rd tergite, and 4th tergite longer than previous three together (as in glabrata Coll.). Wings torn.

The arista of specimens 150—152 are not quite typical of normal glabra, the upper hairs decreasing evenly in length towards tip instead of only the 3 or 4 basal ones long. However, some English specimens in my collection have the same type of arista.

The C. glabra of Duda (1934) is not Fallén's species but appears to be a mixture of C. glabrata Coll. and C. fuscipes Coll.

With the Strobl specimens was sent a pair of »Drosophila glabra» from the Collection Joanneum, Graz (No. Jo 303). They were labelled Asch 18 7 873 (7's crossed), and thus can be assumed to be Kowarz specimens. Both

are Camilla glabrata Collin (1956), the female having 5th tergite extensively yellow (var. flavicauda Duda, 1922: 152, which is known only in this sex). The male was confirmed by J. E. Collin. C. glabrata is further taken in Finland (Hackman 1958: 58 as C. glabra). The yellow variety of the female is known also from Germany. It is of interest to note that Collin's new species subfuscipes = glabra (Fln.) was also described from Kowarz specimens (Collin, 1933: 274).

It is of interest to note that Collin's new species *subfuscipes* = *glabra* (Fln.) was as described from Kowarz specimens (Collin, 1933: 274).

Since the biology of *Camilla* has been little reported, the opportunity is taken of summarising my own rearings in England. From these it is evident that the species are associated with small mammals, particularly with the floor-soil in warrens at entrance to rabbit burrows (*Oryctolagus cuniculus* (L.)), from which they can be reared in large numbers. None has been obtained from rabbit *nests*, of which many have been taken.

C. atrimana Str. 1 \circ em. 7th May, 1931 from puparium found in subterranean nest of small rodent (? shrew), Bucks (Farnham Royal). 1 \circ , 15th September, 1934, in entrance to rabbit burrow, Berks (Temple). 1 \circ , em. 17th May, 1935 from entrance-soil of rabbit burrow taken August 1934, Berks (Temple).

C. fuscipes Coll. Hundreds of specimens reared during May to October of 1932—1935 from soil collected every month of the year in entrance to rabbit burrows. Live adults were taken in entrances during all months from May to November. Bucks (Burnham, Burnham Beeches, and Bockmer End); Berks (Temple).

C. glabra (Fln.) 1 \circ em. 19th June, 1934 from entrance-soil taken 16th June, 1934 from rabbit burrow, Burnham. 1 \circ em. 21st May, 1935, also from entrance-soil, taken 29th December, 1934, Burnham.

C. glabrata Coll. Twenty-four specimens of both sexes were reared from entrance-soil of rabbit burrows or were actually in the entrance, during May, June, August and September, 1934—1935. All Burnham except 1 pair from Temple, in May.

The soil was kept in gauze-covered tins in an unheated insectary.

My sincere thanks are due to the Abbot, Benediktiner-Stift, Admont for allowing the specimens to be sent, and particularly to Dr. Karl Mecenovic, Steiermärkisches Landesmuseum Joanneum, Graz for his invaluable help.

REFERENCES

BASDEN, E. B. 1961. Type collections of Drosophilidae (Diptera) I. The Strobl Collection. Beiträge Ent., 11(1-2): 160-224. - Collin, J. E. 1933. Five new species of Diptera. Ent. mon. Mag., 69 (12): 272—275. — 1956. On the identity of Fallen's Drosophila glabra (Dipt., Camillidae). Op. cit., 92 (6):225—226. — CZERNY, L. & STROBL, G. 1909. Spanische Dipteren. III. Beitrag. Verh. k. k. Zool.-bot. Ges. Wien, 59: 121—301. — DUDA, O. 1922. Liodrosophila und Sphaerogastrella, zwei neue, zu den Drosophiliden und nicht zu den Camilliden gehörige Dipteren-Gattungen aus Süd-ostasien. Archiv. Naturg., A, 88 (4): 150—160. 1934. Camillidae. In: Lindner, E., Die Fliegen der palaearktischen Region. 58f. Stuttgart. 7 pp. — Enderlein, G. 1922. Einige neue Drosophiliden. Deutsch. ent. Zeit., 1922, pt. 3: 295—296. — HACKMAN, W. 1958. Några dipterfynd under exkursioner i Porkalaområdet 1956. Mem. Soc. F. & Fl. Fennica, 33: 57-60. — Loew, H. 1866. Über die europäischen Noterophila-Arten. Berlin. Ent. Ztschr., 1865, 9 (2): 268—269. — Oldenberg, L. 1914. Beitrag zur Kenntnis der europäischen Drosophiliden (Dipt.). Arch. f. Naturg., A, 80(2): 1—42. — Strobl., G. 1880. Dipterologische Funde um Seitenstetten. Ein Beitrag zur Fauna Nieder-Österreichs. XIV. Prog. k.k. Ober-Gymnas. Benedict. Seitenst.: 3—65. — 1893. Beiträge zur Dipterenfauna des österreichischen Littorale. Wien. ent. Ztg., 12 (1—5): 29—170 (discontinuous pagination). — 1898. Die Dipteren von Steiermark. IV. Theil: Nachträge. Mitth. Naturw. Ver. Steierm., Jahrg. 1897, 34: 192—298. — 1900a. Spanische Dipteren. VIII. Theil. Wien. ent. Ztg., 19 (1): 1—10. — 1900b. Dipterenfauna von Bosnien, Hercegovina und Dalmatien. Wissensch. Mitt. Bosn. Hercegov., 7 (3): 552—670. (This is a German translation of a Serbian paper, 1898). — 1901. Tief's dipterologischer Nachlass aus Kärnten und Oesterr.-Schlesien. Jahrb. Naturh. Landes Mus. Kärnten, 1900, 97. Jg., Heft 26: 171—246. — 1906. Spanische Dipteren II. Beitrag. Memor. Real Soc. Españ. Hist. Nat., 1905, 3 (5a): 271—422. — 1910. Die Dipteren von Steiermark. II. Nachtrag. Mitth. Naturw. Ver. Steierm., Jahrg. 1909, 46 (1): 45—293.